CRITICAL THINKING AS AN INSTRUMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA IN THE 21ST CENTURY

ATIKU, Umar

KANKIA, Hussaini Adamu

ABDULKADIR, Bashar

[1 & 2]Department of Educational Foundation
Federal College of Education, Katsina

[3]Department of Sociology of Education
Faculty of Education and Extension Services
Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto

Corresponding author's email: *sarkinburmi@gmail.com*

Abstract

The paper examined the pivotal role of critical thinking as a catalyst for educational development in Nigeria within the context of the 21st century. As global education systems transitioned to meet the demands of innovation-driven and knowledge-based economies, there is an urgent need for Nigerian education to shift away from rote memorization and exam-focused learning toward fostering learners' intellectual autonomy, creativity, and problem-solving abilities. Critical thinking, broadly defined as the ability to objectively analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information, had become a cornerstone of educational excellence in advanced systems worldwide. The paper started by defining critical thinking, highlighting its core characteristics such as logical reasoning, analytical thinking, and reflective judgment. It further explored conceptual dimensions, including evaluation, inference, interpretation, and decision-making, all of which were crucial for active and meaningful learning. The paper also identified major challenges to the development of critical thinking in Nigeria, including outdated curricula, poor teacher preparation, a culture of rote learning, and lack of access to digital tools. In response, the paper proposed strategic interventions such as curriculum reform, teacher training, the adoption of inquiry-based learning models, and ICT integration. Ultimately, the paper advocated for a paradigm shift in Nigeria's educational philosophy—one that embraced critical thinking not merely as a cognitive skill but as a fundamental pillar of lifelong learning, democratic citizenship, and sustainable national development.

Keywords: Critical thinking, Nigerian education, skill, educational reform

Introduction

Education in Nigeria has recorded modest progress in terms of infrastructure and access, yet it continues to grapple with outdated pedagogical practices, an entrenched dependence on rote memorization, and an examination-driven approach. While global education systems are increasingly structured to cultivate critical inquiry, creativity, and innovation, Nigeria's framework remains largely static, demanding urgent transformation if it is to remain relevant in the 21st century (Okebukola, 2020). Critical thinking—defined as the ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information in order to make reasoned judgments—is widely acknowledged as a cornerstone of 21st-century learning. It underpins problem-solving, informed decision-making, and sustained innovation (Facione, 2018). However, within Nigeria's educational system, this competency remains insufficiently emphasized. Students often graduate without the reasoning skills needed to navigate complex societal challenges or compete effectively in a knowledge-based global economy.

In recent years, however, promising efforts have emerged to integrate critical thinking into Nigerian education. For instance, in 2021, Leo Igwe pioneered the country's first teacher-training workshops in Oyo State focused explicitly on developing critical reasoning among primary school pupils. This initiative aligned with the National Policy on Education, which recognizes primary education as foundational for cultivating scientific, reflective, and critical thought. Similarly, the Critical Thinking Social Empowerment Foundation (CTSEF) piloted innovative "questionstorming" programs in Oyo classrooms to spark curiosity and evaluative thinking among learners (Igwe, 2021; CTSEF, 2021).

Broader advocacy has also intensified. Commentators and education experts argue that Nigeria's fixation on rote learning undermines democratic resilience, workforce readiness, and innovation capacity. For example, in 2025, BusinessDay emphasized the urgent need to replace memorization with inquiry-based learning, citing initiatives such as the Eko Digital Project (2024), which tasked students with developing technology-driven solutions to real community problems. Similarly, student-led innovations, such as locally developed water purification techniques, underscore the transformative potential of critical thinking when embedded in education (BusinessDay, 2025).

At the tertiary level, Skyline University Nigeria has institutionalized a compulsory course in critical logical thinking for all undergraduates, designed to move students away from rote recall toward reflective reasoning and problem-solving (Skyline University, 2023). Parallel to this, KEY Academy in Lagos, a school recognized globally as a Top 10 finalist for the 2025 World's Best School Prize for Innovation, has implemented a project-based learning model that emphasizes collaboration, inquiry, and applied critical thinking across all grade levels (KEY Academy, 2025). These models provide replicable examples of how Nigerian schools

and universities can systematically embed higher-order thinking skills into teaching and learning.

Empirical evidence also validates these reforms. A case study in Kaduna demonstrated that embedding critical-thinking questions into reading comprehension significantly enhanced student performance, thereby confirming that critical thinking not only strengthens abstract reasoning but also improves concrete learning outcomes (OAPEN, 2022). Taken together, these initiatives and perspectives suggest both the urgency and feasibility of reform. While structural challenges remain, emerging models—from grassroots teacher training to innovative school curricula—illustrate pathways toward embedding critical thinking as a central pillar of Nigerian education.

Conceptual Framework

Definition of Critical Thinking

Critical thinking is defined as the intellectually disciplined process of actively and skillfully conceptualizing, applying, analyzing, synthesizing, and evaluating information gathered from, or generated by, observation, experience, reflection, reasoning, or communication, as a guide to belief and action (Paul & Elder, 2019). It involves more than just acquiring information it requires learners to question assumptions, discern hidden values, evaluate evidence, and assess conclusions. Facione (2020) emphasizes that critical thinking enables individuals to make reasoned judgments that are logical and well-thought-out, rather than accepting all arguments and conclusions at face value. In education, this capacity fosters deeper comprehension, better problem-solving skills, and greater independence of thought. As a result, it is increasingly seen as an essential skill for learners to thrive in the 21st-century knowledge economy (Lai & Viering, 2019).

More recent studies have expanded the concept of critical thinking to include both cognitive abilities and dispositional qualities. According to Davies (2021), traits such as intellectual humility, perseverance, open-mindedness, and confidence in reasoning play crucial roles in how critical thinking is exercised in learning environments. Moreover, the development of these dispositions, alongside analytical skills, is considered fundamental for cultivating reflective, ethical, and autonomous thinkers. Ennis (2020) further argues that critical thinking in education must be explicitly taught and assessed, integrated into curriculum design, and supported through pedagogical strategies such as inquiry-based learning and Socratic dialogue. As the demands of education evolve, critical thinking remains pivotal—not only as a skill to be learned but as a habit of mind to be nurtured for lifelong learning and effective citizenship

Characteristics of a Ccritical Thinker

Critical thinkers are characterized by a range of intellectual virtues and cognitive dispositions that promote deep engagement with ideas. They are open-minded, capable of considering perspectives different from their own and revising their beliefs in the face of new evidence (Chen et al., 2024). Curiosity drives their inquisitive nature, prompting them to ask probing questions and delve into complexities rather than accept explanations at surface value (Angwaomaodoko, 2024). These thinkers are also skeptical, not in a cynicism sense, but with a willingness to question sources and reasoning without dismissing possibilities outright—a necessary balance for sound evaluation (Rahdar et al., 2023). Through analytical thinking, they systematically deconstruct information, seeking patterns and logic that reveal underlying structures and relationships (Angwaomaodoko, 2024). This combination of openness, curiosity, skepticism, and analysis enables critical thinkers to interpret data thoroughly and fairly.

Moreover, critical thinkers are reflective, consistently examining their own thought processes and biases to enhance their reasoning quality (Rahdar et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024). They are skilled in logical reasoning, constructing coherent arguments and avoiding fallacious reasoning, which reinforces their capacity to make rational, well-supported decisions (Angwaomaodoko, 2024). Further, they are adept at considering multiple perspectives, evaluating the merits of varied viewpoints, and synthesizing diverse inputs into informed, balanced conclusions (Angwaomaodoko, 2024). These traits collectively support not only superior cognitive processing but also ethical and inclusive decision-making—key attributes in dynamic educational or workplace environments. As such, cultivating these dispositions is essential in any context that values innovation, equity, and thoughtful engagement.

Dimensions of critical thinking

Critical thinking comprises several interrelated cognitive skills essential for deep learning and effective decision-making. One core dimension is analysis, which involves breaking down complex information into smaller components to understand relationships and underlying structures. As Halpern (2019) explains, analysis enables individuals to identify critical aspects of problems, thus facilitating clearer thinking and more precise problem-solving. Evaluation is closely related and refers to assessing the credibility and logical strength of information sources, arguments, and conclusions. Facione's Delphi Report (1990, as cited in Smith et al., 2021) emphasized that evaluation is integral to critical thinking, as it involves discerning relevance, accuracy, and sufficiency of information before accepting or rejecting claims.

Another fundamental dimension is inference, which is the process of drawing reasonable conclusions from available data. Halpern (2019) highlights that effective inference requires individuals to move beyond surface-level observations, identifying implications and crafting well-founded conclusions. This dimension is crucial for translating evidence into actionable

insights, especially in educational and professional contexts. Alongside inference is reasoning, the logical progression of ideas that ensures coherence and validity in thought processes. Ennis (2020) and Angwaomaodoko (2021) underscore that reasoning safeguards against errors in judgment, allowing critical thinkers to structure thoughts systematically and recognize faulty logic.

These interwoven dimensions of analysis, evaluation, inference, and reasoning form the backbone of critical thinking and underpin its value in education and beyond. Modern educational frameworks such as the 21st Century Skills Model underscore these cognitive processes as vital competences for learners (Chen & Liu, 2022). Empirical studies further confirm that training designed to enhance these skills leads to improved problem-solving and academic performance (Davis & McCurdy, 2020). By integrating targeted instruction in these dimensions into curricular design and assessment practices, educational systems, including Nigeria's, can better prepare students for complex real-world challenges requiring sophisticated cognitive engagement.

Overview of Nigerian educational development

Although Nigeria has made notable progress in expanding access to basic education through policies like the Universal Basic Education Act, raising concerns about the quality of learning outcomes remains urgent (Pritchett & Sandefur, 2017, as cited in Abubakar & Tijani, 2020; World Bank & UNESCO, 2020). Curriculum-matched assessment studies reveal that many learners are unable to meet basic literacy and numeracy benchmarks, indicating a deep learning crisis (Jalloh et al., 2020). A significant contributing factor is the outdated curriculum, which fails to reflect the competencies and thinking skills needed for the modern workplace (Akinsola & Adewale, 2020). Specifically, Nigerian classroom practices continue to prioritize rote memorization over comprehension and reasoning, with students trained to reproduce content for exams rather than analyze, evaluate, or apply knowledge meaningfully (Ibrahim & Adeyinka, 2019).

The overemphasis on content recall in the Nigerian curriculum also narrows the range of pedagogical strategies employed by teachers. As observed by Destiny and Adeyemi (2025), the current approach relies heavily on lecture-based instruction and repetitive drills, offering few opportunities for student engagement, exploration, or cooperative learning. This traditional model stifles critical inquiry and independent thinking. Similar findings by Awofala and Awolola (2011) in mathematics education highlight that poor emphasis on process-oriented learning in Nigeria's 9-year basic education curriculum limits students' ability to connect abstract lessons with real-life applications—compromising reasoning and problem-solving development.

To address these systemic quality concerns, education experts call for a comprehensive curriculum reform that integrates higher-order thinking processes such as analysis, evaluation, and reflection into both content delivery and assessment (Adeoye et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2019). Modernizing the curriculum should involve incorporating active learning strategies like inquiry-based and problem-based learning that promote learner autonomy and conceptual understanding (Eze, 2021). Additionally, strengthening teacher capacity through regular professional development in constructivist pedagogy and formative assessment would help to shift instructional focus from rote regurgitation toward deep, student-centered learning. Such structural and instructional changes are essential to transform Nigeria's education system from being access-driven to truly learning- and thinking-centered.

The 21st-century learning framework

The 4Cs framework comprising of *critical thinking, creativity, collaboration,* and *communication* has emerged as a foundational model for 21st-century learning, first popularized by Trilling and Fadel (2018). This model reflects the skills deemed essential for students to thrive in today's complex, information-rich, and interconnected world. The *critical thinking* component ensures learners can analyze and evaluate information; *creativity* encourages innovation; *collaboration* promotes effective teamwork; and *communication* ensures clarity in sharing ideas (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2020). Collectively, these competencies transcend traditional academic content, ensuring students become adaptable, engaged, and problem-solving citizens capable of navigating rapidly changing economies and workplaces.

In Nigeria, education systems are still largely anchored in content-based, exam-focused pedagogies. However, studies in similar contexts highlight the transformative potential of the 4Cs in raising learning quality and student engagement. Abe and Birabil (2022) found that classrooms actively fostering critical thinking and creativity achieved higher student motivation and idea generation. Similarly, Ojo (2019) demonstrated that integrating communication and collaboration in ESL lessons helped Nigerian learners develop both language proficiency and interactive skills concurrently. These localized studies suggest that even with constrained resources, embedding the 4Cs can yield positive learning outcomes and better prepare students for participatory citizenship and the global knowledge economy.

To effectively adopt the framework in Nigeria, systemic changes are necessary. Curriculum reforms must move beyond content delivery, embedding inquiry-based, project-based, and cooperative learning methodologies aligned with the 4Cs. Adeyemi (2023) recommends ongoing professional development in these areas, along with robust classroom observation and formative assessment strategies. Furthermore, policy initiatives—such as the recently introduced National Education Strategic Plan—should explicitly incorporate the 4Cs as core

learning outcomes. When Nigeria aligns its educational infrastructure and teacher capacity with this framework, it can bridge the gap between access and meaningful learning, better preparing students for future challenges and opportunities.

Theoretical Framework

Bloom's Taxonomy and Higher-Order Thinking

Bloom's revised taxonomy, updated by Anderson and Krathwohl (2001), elevates analysis, evaluation, and creation as the highest cognitive domains levels at which critical thinking is most deeply engaged (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2018). These stages involve dissecting information (analysis), forming judgments about its quality (evaluation), and generating innovative ideas or solutions (creation) (Roets & Maritz, 2021). Empirical studies in educational psychology show that instruction structured around these higher-order tasks fosters deeper comprehension, better problem-solving, and greater ability to tackle novel challenges (Clements & Sarama, 2018). For Nigeria's education system, adopting a taxonomy-oriented curriculum can steer classroom activities away from factual recall and toward meaningful learning experiences.

Effective implementation of Bloom's taxonomy requires deliberate curriculum planning and teacher facilitation that emphasize analytical and creative engagement. For example, educators should incorporate open-ended questions, case-study analysis, and design-based projects into lesson design, approaches shown to elevate student thinking from lower-order to higher-order cognition (Clements & Sarama, 2018; Roets & Maritz, 2021). Such pedagogical shifts are essential for equipping Nigerian students with 21st-century skills like innovation, strategic thinking, and intellectual flexibility, competencies increasingly demanded by global and local labor markets.

Constructivist Learning Theory

Constructivism, grounded in the work of Vygotsky (1978), asserts that learners actively construct knowledge through social interaction and reflection. Learning, therefore, unfolds through contextualized engagement, scaffolding, and collaborative problem-solving in social environments (Zigelman, 2018). This theoretical orientation supports critical thinking by situating learners in real-world tasks that require them to hypothesize, test, evaluate, and iterate crucial steps in developing analytical and evaluative abilities. Classroom studies demonstrate that constructivist methods such as think-pair-share, project-based learning, and peer instruction significantly improve students' conceptual understanding and critical engagement across many subjects (Ali & Hassanzadeh, 2019). For the Nigerian classroom, integrating constructivist strategies means aligning lesson design with learners' cultural contexts, encouraging collaborative exploration, and supporting teachers in facilitating

inquiry-led activities. These practices have been shown to raise critical thinking proficiency, student motivation, and enduring comprehension.

How Critical Thinking can Strengthen Educational Development in Nigeria

1. **Enhancing Problem-Solving and Innovation Skills**

   Critical thinking plays a central role in enhancing students' ability to tackle complex, real-world problems with creativity and innovation. Research by Bara et al. (2021) indicates that explicit instruction in critical thinking significantly improves students' analytical reasoning, cognitive flexibility, and solution-oriented attitudes in real-world contexts. Their mixed-methods study with secondary students showed that learners exposed to critical thinking curricula outperformed peers in tasks requiring creative problem-solving. Similarly, Sahito and Sahito (2024) found that gamified STEM modules designed to stimulate inquiry and critical reasoning led to statistically significant gains in both problem-solving and innovative thinking among high school learners. These findings demonstrate that critical-thinking pedagogy can serve as a catalyst for higher-order cognitive development, empowering students to conceptualize novel solutions and transfer learning across topics.

   The emphasis on analysis, evaluation, inference, and creation encourages students to explore multiple approaches when facing academic and practical challenges. Patel et al. (2024) observed that integrating both critical and design thinking in design education significantly boosted student mindsets toward innovation, reinforcing that creativity is grounded in systematic evaluation and synthesis. Implementing such an approach in Nigerian education, through project-based learning, case studies, and design challenges, can cultivate a culture of inventive problem-solving. These pedagogical shifts are essential for fostering future-ready learners who can adapt knowledge to new and unforeseen situations, aligning with the demands of innovation-driven economies.

2. **Promoting Independent Learning and Inquiry-Based Approaches**

   Inquiry-based learning (IBL) fosters a passion for exploration, autonomy, and self-directed learning—critical components of lifelong learning. Harris (2022) demonstrated that IBL interventions, characterized by student-driven questioning and cooperative research, significantly enhanced autonomy and deepened conceptual understanding among participants. Students exposed to regular inquiry cycles reported higher engagement, intrinsic motivation, and self-efficacy in learning. Aligned with this, Aziz and colleagues (2023, as cited in systematic reviews) point to problem-based learning (PBL) as a well-established approach for boosting self-directed

learning skills. These active approaches help students gradually assume responsibility for their learning, transitioning from passive recipients to active knowledge-builders.

Building curiosity through inquiry shifts classroom dynamics from teacher-centered to learner-centered, prompting students to formulate their own questions, seek evidence, and reflect on their findings (Angwaomaodoko, 2024). In contexts like Nigeria, where expert-driven instruction is dominant, IBL has the potential to transform learners into independent thinkers. Angwaomaodoko (2024) further notes that when curiosity is sustained by inquiry-oriented pedagogy, it leads to continuous intellectual growth and resilience. Thus, embedding inquiry skills across curricula and fostering environments where students chart their own learning journeys can cultivate lifelong learners, equipped to navigate dynamic socio-economic landscapes with autonomy and creativity.

3. **Improving Decision-Making and Ethical Reasoning**

Critical thinking is tightly interwoven with ethical reasoning and informed decision-making. Facione's widely recognized Delphi Report highlights moral insight, empathy, and fairness as integral dimensions of critical thinking (Facione & Facione, 2018). When students are trained to evaluate evidence compassionately and reflect on the implications of their choices, they are better equipped for moral and civic decision-making. Studies show that curricula fostering critical reflection on ethical dilemmas significantly enhance students' capacity for values-based judgments (Taylor, 2020; Chen & Liu, 2022). Learners with such training report greater ethical awareness and improved readiness for real-world choices that affect themselves and their communities.

Educational approaches that merge logical rigor with ethical questioning have demonstrated impact in diverse settings. For instance, case-based debate sessions and structured reflection exercises have been found to strengthen ethical reasoning skills in social science students (Taylor, 2020). Integrating these into Nigerian educational practice through inclusive narratives, civic engagement modules, and reflective discussions can condition students to make higher-quality decisions grounded in empathy, consequence evaluation, and critical reflection.

4. **Preparing Learners for a Globalized, Knowledge-Based Economy**

In today's global economy, employers prioritize adaptable individuals with robust analytical and problem-solving skills over basic factual knowledge (World Economic Forum, 2020; Shaznay et al., 2024). Patel et al. (2024) found that integrated critical and design thinking interventions effectively prime future-ready graduates, improving

their readiness for innovation-driven sectors. Similarly, systematic literature reviews in technology education highlight that instructing students in both critical and digital literacies improves performance in STEM disciplines (World Bank & UNESCO, 2020; Chen & Liu, 2022). These cognitive competencies align with employer demands for agile, reflective, and data-savvy professionals in multinational and digitized industries.

5. For Nigeria, equipping students with critical thinking skills enhances employability and global competitiveness. Integrating the 4Cs critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication into curricula aligns with global education blueprints and qualifications frameworks (Angwaomaodoko, 2024; Shaznay et al., 2024). Curriculum redesign, teacher training, and assessment reforms emphasizing reasoning and innovation could synergistically uplift Nigeria's human capital profile. As educational institutions align learning outcomes with global workplace demands, they will nurture graduates capable of critical analysis, cross-cultural collaboration, and creative problem-solving—credentials essential in the international economy.

Current Challenges in Nigerian Education

1. **Rote Learning and Exam-Oriented Systems**

   A defining feature of Nigerian classrooms is the emphasis on rote memorization, driven by high-stakes standardized testing and cultural value for academic certification over understanding (Ogunleye & Adeyemo, 2019). Students are trained to reproduce textbooks and "cram" facts, often with little regard for comprehension or application. According to Akinbode and Ojo (2020), this examination-centric culture undermines deep learning, leaving students ill-prepared to transfer knowledge to real-world situations, a critical flaw in preparing learners for problem-solving and innovation. The prevalence of rote learning exacerbates shallow comprehension, as learners lack opportunities to engage with content in meaningful ways (Eze & Okeke, 2019). With teachers primarily lecturing and prescribing "model" answers, classrooms are reduced to delivery systems for test success rather than hubs for intellectual exploration. As digital-age demands for adaptable, analytical thinkers grow (World Economic Forum, 2020), Nigeria's exam-driven approach increasingly appears obsolete and counterproductive.

2. **Outdated Curricula and Poor Teacher Training**

   Despite recent curriculum updates, many Nigerian syllabi remain rooted in content-heavy structures that limit opportunities for critical engagement (Ogunleye & Adeyemo, 2019). These frameworks often fail to reflect global pedagogical priorities or the critical thinking needs of modern learners. Akinbode and Ojo (2020) report that

teachers frequently stick to outdated curricular scripts, citing lack of training and poorly designed materials as major barriers to any pedagogical innovation. Teacher capacity is a foundational challenge: many educators lack training in inquiry-focused or student-centered methods, which are essential to develop critical thinking (Eze & Okeke, 2019). Their preservice and in-service training rarely emphasize active learning, lesson differentiation, or formative feedback. Rather than facilitating cognitive development, teachers revert to lecture-based, content-delivery models, which reproduce rote learning cycles.

3. **Lack of Digital Literacy and Modern Pedagogical Methods**

Access to digital tools remains inconsistent across Nigerian schools. Despite government initiatives, many classrooms lack basic ICT resources, limiting opportunities for interactive, technology-rich learning (Omosekejimi et al., 2018). When equipment is available, teachers often lack the training to integrate them meaningfully into lessons. As a result, technologies are often underutilized or relegated to presentations when they could actively support inquiry-based learning, collaboration, and formative assessment (Ilavbare & Ewere, 2025).

To build digital competency, Nigeria must invest in ICT infrastructure and teacher training simultaneously. Teacher colleges and in-service programs should embed digital literacy and pedagogical technology integration into accreditation requirements (Babate et al., 2024). The government should pair infrastructure upgrades with capacity-building grants and technical support. Through this integrated strategy, Nigerian classrooms can evolve from technology-poor environments to digitally enriched learning hubs.

5. **Socio-Cultural Barriers to Critical Inquiry**

Socio-cultural norms in Nigeria often discourage public questioning, prioritizing respect for authority over inquiry (Reddit users recount Nigerian classrooms as “rote cramming” environments, 2024). In many families, asking “why” is seen as challenging elders—a practice that often carries over into school cultures. This dynamic hinders students from developing critical dispositions and undermines teacher efforts to promote discussion and debate. Altering these deep-rooted attitudes calls for systemic cultural and institutional change. Schools should consciously normalize interactive pedagogies such as Socratic dialogue and group problem-solving to build confidence in questioning and debate. Teacher training and professional guidelines must promote equitable participation in the classroom. Partnerships with parents and communities can also help shift cultural mindsets

toward celebrating inquiry rather than compliance, fostering more open, critically engaged learners.

Strategies for Integrating Critical Thinking in Nigerian Education

1. **Curriculum Reform to Incorporate Thinking Skills**

   To prepare students for the complexities of the 21st century, school curricula must move beyond rote memorization and embed opportunities for analytical, evaluative, and creative thinking across subjects. A study by Adeoye et al. (2023) emphasized that curricula redesigned to include critical questioning, project work, and problem-solving tasks in areas like science and social studies significantly improved students' metacognitive awareness and engagement. These reforms foster deeper understanding by prompting learners to synthesize concepts across domains and apply classroom knowledge to authentic issues.

2. **Teacher Training and Professional Development Programs**

   Professional development is critical for empowering teachers to facilitate debate, inquiry, and reflection in classrooms (Obioma, 2019). Eze and Okeke (2021) found that teachers who participated in inquiry-based workshops became more adept at crafting lesson plans that integrated Socratic questioning and scaffolded peer feedback. This training significantly increased student engagement and participation in discussions, especially when teachers modeled reflective thinking and encouraged diverse perspectives. Sustainable teacher training programs also include ongoing support structures, such as peer-coaching, reflective teaching journals, and communities of practice (Ali & Hassanzadeh, 2019). Continuous professional learning helps educators reflect on their instructional approaches, adapt to classroom challenges, and maintain momentum in promoting critical thinking. Policy initiatives that allocate dedicated time and incentives for teacher collaboration are essential to building classroom cultures that value reflective practice over rote lecture.

3. **Project-Based and Inquiry-Based Learning Approaches**

   Project-based learning (PBL) and inquiry-based learning (IBL) empower learners to explore real-world problems, enhancing critical thinking and collaboration. In a comparative study in Asia, Harris (2022) observed that students engaged in PBL demonstrated significantly higher metacognitive control and ability to transfer learning across contexts than peers in traditional settings. Similarly, Nigerian case studies (Angwaomaodoko, 2024) showed that science classes using inquiry-led experiments developed stronger hypothesis-testing skills and logical reasoning. These approaches also foster 21st-century skills, such as teamwork, digital communication,

and reflective practice (Chen & Liu, 2022). For instance, group projects tied to community issues, such as clean water initiatives, steer learners to conduct research, collaborate, reflect on feedback, and present creative solutions. PBL and IBL thus offer organic platforms for learners to practice higher-order thinking and ethical problem-solving while connecting knowledge with social responsibility.

5. **Use of ICT and Digital Platforms for Interactive Learning**

Digital tools like educational apps, virtual labs, and simulations offer interactive environments for critical analysis and exploration. Babate et al. (2024) found that using simulation-based learning in science significantly improved students' conceptual understanding and investigative skills. Such tools enable repeated experimentation and immediate feedback, encouraging reflective thought and iterative problem-solving. However, the impact of ICT depends on pedagogical integration. Omosekejimi et al. (2018) emphasize that successful interventions require teacher training, supportive infrastructure, and curriculum alignment. Educators must design digital tasks that demand higher-order thinking, such as data interpretation or digital storytelling, not just replicating traditional lessons on a screen. This synergy harnesses technology to cultivate both digital literacies and critical thinking in meaningful ways.

6. **Assessment Reforms That Measure Analytical and Reflective Skills**

Moving beyond multiple-choice tests, innovative assessment methods like open-ended questions and authentic tasks enable students to demonstrate critical thinking. Affective and analytical rubrics developed by Eze (2021) allowed Nigerian students to articulate reasoning processes, evaluate sources, and justify solutions on real-world issues, yielding richer insights into student understanding compared to traditional exams. Introducing performance-based assessments such as debates, reflective journals, and project portfolios encourages students to demonstrate strategic thinking and self-evaluation (Patel et al., 2024). These formats reinforce classroom learning and instill a mindset oriented toward metacognition and lifelong improvement. Embedding such assessment tools into national standards will signal a shift toward valuing deep learning over rote recall.

Conclusion

Critical thinking is essential for advancing educational development in Nigeria. To effectively address the demands of the 21st century, the nation's education system must be restructured to emphasize inquiry, creativity, and reflective thinking. Integrating critical thinking into teaching and learning will not only improve students' academic performance but also equip them with the skills necessary for active citizenship, innovation, and global competitiveness.

References

Abe, E. C., & Birabil, S. T. (2022). Fostering creativity and critical thinking in 21st century classrooms. *Innovare Journal of Education, 10*(3), 45–52.

Abubakar, I., & Tijani, A. (2020). Bridging Nigeria's learning crisis: Curriculum relevance and educator preparedness. *Journal of Educational Development in Africa, 12*(2), 45–59.

Adeoye, M. A., Aremu, M., Ehindero, R. E., Ajape, R. O., Yahaya, A. K., & Jolaoye, J. D. (2023). From flawed to flourishing: Reshaping the Nigerian curriculum for sustainable national development. *Journal of Education Action Research, 7*(4), 621–627.

Adeyemi, A. (2023). Integrating 21st century skills into Nigerian curriculum: Teachers' perspectives. *Educational Reform Journal, 5*(1), 67–80.

Ali, M., & Hassanzadeh, R. (2019). The effect of constructivist teaching on critical thinking of students. *Journal of Educational Psychology Studies, 15*(3), 112–127.

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2018). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives.* Longman.

Angwaomaodoko, E. A. (2021). Developing critical thinking through logical reasoning in higher education. *Journal of Contemporary Educational Psychology, 8*(1), 55–70.

Angwaomaodoko, E. A. (2024). Critical Thinking: Strategies for Fostering a Culture of Inquiry in Education. *Path of Science, 10*(9), 1001–1007.

Babate, O., Etuk, V., & Okon, P. (2024). Impact of simulation-based learning on critical thinking in Nigerian science classrooms. *Journal of Science & Technology Education, 22*(1), 45–59.

Bara, E., Berdica, B., & Bara, G. (2021). The role of critical thinking in enhancing student learning and problem solving for 21st-century challenges. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities, 10*(1), 37–50, https://doi.org/10.58885/ijssh.v10i1.37.gb

Chen, Y., & Liu, X. (2022). Critical thinking and 21st Century skills in university curricula: A mixed-methods analysis. *Educational Technology & Society, 25*(3), 112–126.

Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2018). Engaging young learners in mathematical problem solving. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 49*(4), 380–412.

Davis, M., & McCurdy, C. (2020). Teaching the four dimensions of critical thinking: An intervention study. *Journal of Educational Research, 113*(4), 299–314.

Destiny, C. I., & Adeyemi, G. (2025). Pragmatism and learning in Nigerian schools. *Studies in Education, 23*(1), 12–25.

Eze, J. (2021). Inquiry-based learning in Nigeria: Improving critical thinking and problem-solving capacities. *African Journal of Innovative Education, 15*(3), 89–103.

Facione, P. A. (2020). *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. Insight Assessment.*

Halpern, D. F. (2019). *Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking* (5th ed.). Psychology Press.

Harris, D. (2022). Promoting critical thinking skills through inquiry-based learning. *Frontiers of Educational Review, 4*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.69610/j.fer.20220413

Ibrahim, S., & Adeyinka, A. (2019). Curriculum and labor market mismatch in Nigeria: The role of outdated academic programs. *Nigerian Educational Policy Journal, 8*(1), 12–29.

Jalloh, M., Sparks, J., & Soliman, S. (2020). Is Nigeria experiencing a learning crisis? Evidence from curriculum-matched learning assessments. *International Journal of Educational Development,* 77, 102199.

Lai, E. R., & Viering, M. (2019). *Assessing 21st century skills: Integrating research findings.* Educational Testing Service.

Obioma, G. (2019). Repositioning teacher education for critical thinking. *Journal of Contemporary Education in Nigeria, 5*(1), 24–37.

Omosekejimi, O., Bello, A., & Salim, R. (2018). Facilitating digital pedagogy in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Educational Research and Technology, 9*(2), 10–22.

Patel, N. S., Puah, S., & Kok, X. F. (2024). Shaping future-ready graduates with mindset shifts: Integration of critical and design thinking. *Frontiers in Education*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1358431

Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2019). *The miniature guide to critical thinking concepts and tools* (8th ed.). Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Pritchett, L., & Sandefur, J. (2017). *Learning data and the foundational education crisis in Nigeria.* In Commissioned report.

Roets, M., & Maritz, A. (2021). Higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) and Bloom's taxonomy revised. *IEOM Society International Proceedings*, 368–373. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEOM.2021.9415917

Sahito, F. Z., & Hachim, N. (2020). Enhancing critical thinking through curriculum design. *Journal of Education and Practice, 11*(3), 10–17.

Sahito, F. Z., & Sahito, Z. H. (2024). Gamification as a pedagogical tool for enhancing critical thinking and problem-solving skills in STEM education. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences, 5*(4), 316–331. https://doi.org/10.47205/jdss.2024(5-IV)29

Smith, G., Brown, J., & Johnson, L. (2021). Reevaluating Facione's Delphi framework: A contemporary perspective. Critical Thinking Review, 12(2), 25–38.

Taylor, D. (2020). Critical thinking and problem-solving skills development. *Perspectives in Innovative Education, 2*(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.69610/j.pie.20200321

Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2018). *21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times*. Jossey Bass.

Ugwuanyi, C. M. (2024). *Navigating curriculum challenges in Nigeria's education system: A call to action*. University of Saskatchewan.

UNESCO. (2019). *Competency-based curriculum report: Lessons for Africa*. UNESCO.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press.

World Bank & UNESCO. (2020). *The state of global learning poverty: 2020 update.* World Bank Publications.

World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*. WEF.

Zigelman, I. (2018). *Constructivism and the Community of Inquiry. In Technology and the Curriculum:* Summer 2018. Pressbooks.